

## **Investigation and Hearing Procedures**

### **Background**

This guide sets out the procedure that will be followed where the Monitoring Officer or Standards Committee decides that a complaint, that a Member of Sedgemoor District Council or a Town/Parish in Sedgemoor has breached their Code of conduct, merits investigation. This guide sets out how the investigation will be conducted and what will happen once the officer responsible for the investigation has reached their conclusions.

It also explains the process for holding a "Hearing" where the investigation concludes that there has been a breach of the relevant authority's Code of Conduct.

### **Investigations**

Where a matter is referred for investigation, the following parties will be informed:-

- The Subject Member
- The Complainant
- The Clerk of the relevant town or parish council where the Subject Member is a town or parish councillor

### **The Investigating Officer**

The Monitoring Officer will appoint an external Investigator to conduct the investigation and reach a conclusion as to whether there has been a breach of the relevant Code of Conduct.

The Monitoring Officer will advise the Subject Member and the Complainant of the appointment of the Investigating Officer. The appointment will set out the responsibilities delegated by the Monitoring Officer to the Investigating Officer. The Monitoring Officer will maintain the function of overseeing the investigation.

When conducting an investigation the Investigating Officer will have the power to make enquiries of any person they think necessary. However, there is no obligation on any individual to respond. Although it should be noted that Members who are subject to the LGA Model Code of Conduct have committed in the Code to co-operating with any Code of Conduct investigation and/or determination. Interviews will be conducted either in person or by telephone. Where the Subject Member requests an interview in person, this will be accommodated wherever possible.

Statements will be prepared and agreed with each person interviewed during an investigation, including the Subject Member. The Subject Member will be advised that he/she may be accompanied by a professional representative or advisor, a Group colleague or friend during the interview. Any other person interviewed may be accompanied by a friend or representative if they so wish.

## **Referring cases back to the Monitoring Officer**

During the investigation the Investigating Officer may uncover evidence of conduct by the Subject Member that breaches the relevant Code of Conduct, but extends beyond the scope of the investigation referred to them. In these circumstances, the Investigating Officer may refer the matter back to the Monitoring Officer/Standards Committee so that they can decide, in consultation with the Independent Person, whether this new evidence should also be investigated and combined into the Investigation.

The Investigating Officer may also refer the matter back to the Monitoring Officer if at any time during the Investigation circumstances arise that they consider may make it appropriate not to continue with the investigation. These circumstances may include the following situations, although this list is not exhaustive and there may be other reasons why it is not appropriate to continue with the investigation:-

- Evidence is uncovered suggesting a case is more or less serious than seemed apparent originally
- The subject member has died, is seriously ill or has resigned from the authority

In those circumstances the Monitoring Officer or Standards Committee, in consultation with the Independent Person, will decide whether it is appropriate to continue with the investigation and that decision shall be final.

## **Deferring an investigation**

An investigation should be deferred when any of the following conditions are met:-

- There are on-going criminal proceedings or a police investigation into the member's conduct.
- The investigation may prejudice another investigation or court proceeding.
- Because of the serious illness of a key party
- Due to the genuine unavailability of a key party.

Any deferral must be with the agreement of the Monitoring Officer or the Standards Committee

## **Confidentiality**

The Investigating Officer must treat the information they gather during the investigation as confidential. The Investigating Officer will also ask the people they interview to maintain confidentiality.

Any draft report that is issued will be marked as confidential. This is to preserve the integrity of any further investigation that the Investigating Officer needs to undertake.

## **Timescales for an Investigation**

The Investigating Officer will complete the investigation within a reasonable period of time according to the nature of the complaint and the extent of the investigation

required. There are many factors that can affect the time it takes to complete an investigation. However, most investigations should be carried out and a report on the investigation completed, within 4 months of the Monitoring Officers/Standards Committee's decision to investigate.

### **The Investigation Report**

When the Investigating Officer has concluded their investigation, they will prepare a draft report to the Subject Member and the Complainant for review and comment. The report should cover the issues set out in the report template at Appendix A. Any draft report will indicate that it does not necessarily represent the Investigating Officer's final finding.

The draft report will be issued to the Subject Member and Complainant for review and comment. The draft will not be sent to other witnesses or parties involved but the Investigating Officer will seek confirmation of their evidence from them before issuing the report.

At this stage the Subject Member or Complainant may comment on the draft report. The Investigating Officer may then decide to redraft the report based on the comments received. Once the Investigating Officer has considered whether the responses add anything of substance to the investigation, they will make their final conclusions and recommendations and issue a Final Report.

This report must be sent to:-

- The Subject Member
- The Complainant
- The Independent Person

The report must make one of the following findings:-

- That there has been a failure to comply with the relevant Code of Conduct
- That there has not been a failure to comply with the relevant Code of Conduct

If the Investigating Officer concludes that there has been no breach of the Code, the Monitoring Officer will write to the Subject Member and Complainant and advise that that is the conclusion and that that is the end of the matter.

If the Investigating Officer concludes that there has been a breach of the Code, the Monitoring Officer will, in consultation with the Independent Person decide whether no further action is needed, the matter should be resolved in a way other than by a hearing or that the matter should be referred to a hearing.

If the Subject Member is also a town/parish councillor, the Clerk to the Town/Parish Council will also be notified of the outcome of the investigation.

## **Consideration of the Final Report where it concludes there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct and the Monitoring Officer decides that it should proceed to a hearing**

Where the Report concludes that there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct, and the Monitoring Officer decides that the matter should proceed to a hearing, it will be to the Hearing Committee (a Sub-Committee of the Standards Committee) that the complaint will be referred to for determination.

The role of the Committee is to decide, based on the facts in the report, whether it agrees that the Code of Conduct has been breached and if so, whether a sanction should be imposed.

### **Timing of the hearing**

The Committee will usually hear a complaint within three months of the date on which the Investigating Officer's report was completed. However there may be some occasions where due to the particular circumstances, it may not be possible to hear the complaint within this timescale.

The hearing will take place at least 14 days after the Subject Member receives a copy of the report from the Monitoring Officer.

The Committee may consider the report in the Subject Member's absence if the Subject Member does not go to the hearing. If the Committee is satisfied with the Subject Member's reasons for not being able to come to the hearing, it should arrange for the hearing to be held on another date.

### **Scheduling a hearing**

Except in the most complicated cases, the Committee should aim to complete a hearing in one sitting or in consecutive sittings of no more than one working day in total. Late night and very lengthy hearings are not ideal for effective decision-making. Equally, having long gaps between sittings can lead to important matters being forgotten.

### **The pre-hearing process**

The purpose of the pre-hearing process is to allow matters at the hearing to be dealt with more fairly and economically. This is because it quickly alerts parties to possible areas of difficulty and, if possible, allows them to be resolved before the hearing itself. Other than in very straightforward cases, the council will use a pre-hearing process to:

- identify whether the Subject Member disagrees with any of the findings of fact in the investigation report
- identify whether those disagreements are likely to be relevant to any matter the hearing needs to decide
- identify whether evidence about those disagreements will need to be heard during the hearing

- decide whether there are any parts of the hearing that are likely to be held in private
- decide whether any parts of the investigation report or other documents should be withheld from the public prior to the hearing, on the grounds that they contain 'exempt' material

The pre-hearing process should usually be carried out in writing. However, occasionally a meeting between the Chairman of the Committee, the relevant parties and their representatives may be necessary or just between the Monitoring Officer and the Committee Chair for expediency.

Some matters in the pre-hearing process may be decided only by the Committee. Therefore, if it is necessary for the Committee to meet, they will have to do so formally as with any other council committee meeting. However, it is usually more appropriate for the majority of the pre-hearing process to be dealt with by the Monitoring Officer or other suitable officer.

### **Key points for the pre-hearing process**

The officer providing administrative support to the Committee should write to the Subject Member proposing a date for the hearing, and they should do this in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee. They should also outline the hearing procedure, the member's rights and they should additionally ask for a written response from the Subject Member within a set time. This is to find out whether the Subject Member:

- wants to be represented at the hearing by a solicitor, barrister or any other person
- disagrees with any of the findings of fact in the investigation report, including reasons for any of these disagreements
- wants to give evidence to the Committee, either verbally or in writing
- wants to call relevant witnesses to give evidence to the Committee
- wants any part of the hearing to be held in private
- wants any part of the investigation report or other relevant documents to be withheld from the public
- can attend the hearing

A critical part of the pre-hearing process is to attempt to focus the relevant parties' attention on isolating all relevant disputes of facts between them. This is because attention to the factual issues will save valuable time later on at the hearing.

**The Standards Committee has approved Pre-hearing process forms that will be used to try and narrow the issues. These forms help the Subject Member respond to the Committee.**

**Form A** helps the Subject Member identify any disagreements about the findings of fact in the investigation report.

**Form B** helps the Subject Member set out any other evidence that is relevant to the complaint made about them.

**Form C** helps the Subject Member set out any representations the committee should take account of if the Subject Member is found to have broken the Code of Conduct.

**Forms D and E** cover details of the hearing and the witnesses who will give evidence.

**Form F** is a checklist of details for the pre-hearing process summary which is outlined below.

Copies of these Forms are attached at Appendix B.

### **Pre-hearing process summary**

The Committee's clerk should consult with the Committee's legal adviser and send a pre-hearing process summary to everyone involved in the complaint at least two weeks before the hearing or as soon as the Committee has received responses from the Subject Member and from the Investigating Officer. The pre-hearing process summary should:

- set the date, time and place for the hearing
- summarise the allegation
- outline the main facts of the case that are agreed
- outline the main facts which are not agreed
- note whether the Subject Member or investigating officer will go to the hearing or be represented at the hearing
- list those witnesses, if any, who will be asked to give evidence, subject to the power of the Committee to make a ruling on this at the hearing
- outline the proposed procedure for the hearing

### **The Hearing**

A hearing is a formal meeting of the authority and is not a court of law. It does not hear evidence under oath, but it does decide factual evidence on the balance of probabilities. The Committee should work at all times in a demonstrably fair, independent and politically impartial way. This helps to ensure that members of the public, and members of the authority, have confidence in its procedures and findings.

The Committee should bear in mind the need to maintain public confidence in the Council's ethical standards. This requires that the Committee's decisions should be seen as open, unprejudiced and unbiased. All concerned should treat the hearing process with respect and with regard to the potential seriousness of the outcome, for the Subject Member, the council and the public.

### **Representatives**

The Subject Member may choose to be represented by counsel, a solicitor, or by any other person they wish. Even if represented, the Member must still personally answer any questions posed through the Chairman. If the Subject Member concerned wants to have a non-legal representative, the Subject Member must obtain the consent of the Committee. The Committee may choose to withdraw its permission to allow a

representative if that representative disrupts the hearing. However, an appropriate warning will usually be enough to prevent more disruptions and should normally be given before permission is withdrawn.

## **Evidence**

The Committee controls the procedure and evidence presented at a hearing, including the number of witnesses and the way witnesses are questioned. In many cases, The Committee may not need to consider any evidence other than the investigation report and any other supporting documents. However, the Committee may need to hear from witnesses if more evidence is needed, or if people do not agree with certain findings of fact in the report. The Committee can allow questions from the Subject Member, the Investigating Officer, the Monitoring Officer or any representative. However, the Committee will ask that these questions be directed through the Chairman. The Committee can also question witnesses directly.

## **Witnesses**

Generally, the Subject Member is entitled to present their case as they see fit, which includes calling the witnesses they may want and which are relevant to the matters to be heard. The Subject Member must make their own arrangements to ensure that their witnesses (and witnesses they would like to question) will attend the hearing. The Committee has the right to govern its own procedures as long as it acts fairly. For this reason, the Committee may limit the number of witnesses if the number is unreasonable. The Committee will normally take a decision on whether to hear any particular evidence or witness only after having heard submissions from both parties on the issue.

Witnesses of facts that are disputed would normally attend the hearing and should be prepared to be asked questions. Witnesses as to the character of the Subject Member, if required, regularly present their evidence in writing and may or may not actually attend the hearing. Witnesses, especially members of the public, often play an important part in the process and should be treated with courtesy and respect. Witnesses should be kept promptly informed of the relevant dates, times and location of the hearing.

## **The Independent Person**

It is not a legal requirement that the Independent Person attend the hearing, but it is best practice and the Committee must have regard to their views when reaching a decision. If the Independent Person does not attend, a mechanism will be agreed for receiving their views.

## **Sanctions**

If the committee finds that a Subject Member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct and that they should be sanctioned, it may impose any one or a combination of the following:

- Report its findings to Council or Town/Parish Council for information;

- Issue (or recommend to the parish council) a formal censure;
- Recommend to the Subject Member's Group Leader (or in the case of ungrouped councillors, recommend to Council) that he/she be removed from any or all Committees or Sub-Committees of the Council (or recommend such action to the parish council);
- Recommending to the Leader of the Council that the member be removed from the Executive, or removed from particular Portfolio responsibilities;
- Instructing (or recommending to the Parish Council) that the Monitoring Officer arrange training for the member;
- Removing (or recommending removal to the Town/Parish Council) from all outside appointments to which he/she has been appointed or nominated by the authority;
- Withdrawing facilities (or recommending withdrawal to a Town/Parish Council) provided to the member by the Council, such as a computer, website and/or email and Internet access;
- Restricting contact (or recommending to the Town/Parish Council restriction on contact ) to named officers or requiring contact be through named officers; or
- Excluding (or recommending exclusion to Town/Parish Council's ) the member from the Council's offices or other premises, with the exception of meeting rooms as necessary for attending Council, Committee and Sub-Committee meetings.
- if relevant recommend to Council that the Subject Member be removed from their role as leader of the authority
- if relevant recommend to the secretary or appropriate official of a political group that the Subject Member be removed as group leader or other position of responsibility.

### **Considering the sanction**

When deciding on a sanction, the Committee should ensure that it is reasonable and proportionate to the Subject Member's behaviour. Before deciding what sanction to issue, the Committee should consider the following questions, along with any other relevant circumstances:

- What was the Subject Member's intention?
- Did the Subject Member know that they were failing to follow the Code of Conduct?



- Did the Subject Member get advice from officers before the incident?
- Was that advice acted on or ignored in good faith?
- Has there been a breach of trust?
- Has there been financial impropriety, for example improper expense claims or procedural irregularities?
- What was the result of failing to follow the Code of Conduct?
- What were the potential results of the failure to follow the Code of Conduct?
- How serious was the incident?
- Does the Subject Member accept they were at fault?
- Did the Subject Member apologise to the relevant people?
- Has the Subject Member previously been warned or reprimanded for similar misconduct?
- Has the Subject Member failed to follow the Code of Conduct before?
- Is the Subject Member likely to do the same thing again?
- How will the sanction be carried out? For example, who will provide the training or mediation?
- Are there any resource or funding implications? For example, if a Subject Member has repeatedly or blatantly misused the authority's information technology resources, the Committee may consider withdrawing those resources from the Subject Member.

Sanctions involving restricting access to an authority's premises or equipment should not unnecessarily restrict the Subject Member's ability to carry out their responsibilities as an elected representative or co-opted member.

The following are examples of aggravating and mitigating factors that Members may take into account when assessing an appropriate sanction:

Examples, but not an exhaustive list, of mitigating factors are:

- An honestly held, although mistaken, view that the action concerned did not constitute a failure to follow the provisions of the Code of Conduct, particularly where such a view has been formed after taking appropriate advice.

- A member's previous record of good service.
- Substantiated evidence that the member's actions have been affected by ill-health.
- Recognition that there has been a failure to follow the Code; co-operation in rectifying the effects of that failure; an apology to affected persons where that is appropriate, self-reporting of the breach by the member.
- Compliance with the Code since the events giving rise to the determination.
- Some actions, which may have involved a breach of the Code, may nevertheless have had some beneficial effect for the public.

Examples, but again not an exhaustive list, of aggravating factors are:

- Dishonesty or breaches of trust.
- Trying to gain an advantage or disadvantage for themselves or others;
- Bullying;
- Continuing to deny the facts despite clear contrary evidence.
- Seeking unfairly to blame other people
- Failing to heed appropriate advice or warnings or previous findings of a failure to follow the provisions of the Code
- Persisting with a pattern of behaviour which involves repeatedly failing to abide by the provisions of the Code.

In deciding what action to take, the Committee should bear in mind an aim of upholding and improving the standard of conduct expected of members of the various bodies to which the Codes of Conduct apply, as part of the process of fostering public confidence in local democracy. Thus, the action taken by the Committee should be designed both to discourage or prevent the Subject Member from any future non-compliance and also to discourage similar action by others.

The Committee should take account of the actual consequences which have followed as a result of the member's actions while at the same time bearing in mind what the possible consequences may have been even if they did not come about.

### **Notice of the Committee's findings**

The Committee will announce its decision at the end of the hearing. A short written decision will be made available on the day of the hearing and a full written decision

will be prepared before people's memories fade. The officer providing administrative support to the Committee will also draft minutes of the meeting. The Committee must give its full written decision to the relevant parties as soon as possible after the hearing. Wherever possible this will be within two weeks of the hearing.

The relevant parties are:

- the Subject Member
- the Complainant
- the standards committees of any other authorities concerned
- any parish or town councils concerned
- the Investigating Officer
- the Independent Person

### **Making the findings public**

The Committee will arrange for a summary of the decision and reasons for it to be published on the Council's website and a press release issued. A summary of the decision may also be published in any other publication if the Committee considers it appropriate.

If the Committee finds that the Subject Member did not fail to follow the authority's Code of Conduct, the public summary must say this and give reasons for this finding. In such cases, the Subject Member is also entitled to decide that no summary of the decision should be published.

If the Committee finds that the Subject Member failed to follow the Code but no action needs to be taken the summary must:

- say that the member failed to follow the Code, but that no action needs to be taken
- outline what happened
- give reasons for the Committee's decision not to take any action

If the Committee finds that the member failed to follow the Code and it imposes a sanction, the public summary must:

- say that the member failed to follow the Code
- outline what happened
- explain what sanction has been imposed
- give reasons for the decision made by Committee

The committee's reports and minutes should be available for public inspection for six years after the hearing. However, sections of documents relating to parts of the hearing that were held in private will not have to be made available for public inspection.

### **Written decision format**

For consistency and thoroughness, the Committee will use the following format for their full written decisions. The front cover of the Committee's full written decision should include the name of the:

- The Authority
- Subject Member
- Complainant
- Committee member who chaired the hearing
- Committee members who took part in the hearing
- Monitoring Officer
- Investigating Officer who investigated the matter (if applicable)
- Clerk of the hearing or other administrative officer
- Case reference number
- Date of the hearing
- Date of the report

The Committee's full written decision will include:

- A summary of the complaint
- The relevant section or sections of the Code of Conduct
- A summary of the evidence considered and representations made
- The findings of fact, including the reasons for them
- The finding as to whether the member failed to follow the Code, including the reason's for that finding
- the sanctions imposed, if any, including the reasons for any sanctions

Subject Members are responsible for meeting the cost of any representation at a Committee hearing.

### **The Role of the Monitoring Officer**

It is important that the Committee receives high quality, independent advice. For this reason the Monitoring Officer will be the main adviser to the Committee, unless he/she has an interest in the matter that would prevent them from performing this role independently. If this situation arises, the Monitoring Officer should arrange for another appropriately qualified officer to advise the Committee.

The Monitoring Officer or other legal adviser's role in advising the standards committee is to:

- make sure that members of the Committee understand their powers and procedures
- make sure that the determination procedure is fair and will allow the complaint to be dealt with as efficiently and effectively as possible
- make sure that the Subject Member understands the procedures the Committee will follow
- provide advice to the Committee during the hearing and their deliberations
- help the Committee produce a written decision and a summary of

that decision

### **Model hearing procedures for the Committee**

The Committee has adopted Model procedures for the conduct of the hearing. These are attached at Appendix C. These may be varied at the discretion of the Committee.

### **Public or Private Hearings**

In most cases hearings should take place in public. The views of the subject Member and the Investigating Officer will be sought as early as possible to allow for legal advice to be obtained if required. In most cases, the public interest in transparent decision-making by the Committee will outweigh the Subject Member's interest in limiting publication of an unproved allegation that has not yet been determined.

### **Right of Appeal**

There is no right of appeal against the decision of the Committee. However, if the Subject Member or the Complainant is unhappy with the outcome, they are still entitled to complain to the Local Government Ombudsman or challenge a decision through the Courts by way of Judicial Review.

**Appendix A** Investigation Report Template

**Appendix B** Forms A to F

**Appendix C** Hearing Procedures

**TEMPLATE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Case Reference:**

Report of an investigation by [insert Investigating Officer name] appointed by the Monitoring Officer for [insert authority name] into an allegation concerning [insert subject member name].

**DATE:** [insert date]

## **Contents**

- 1 Executive summary**
- 2 [Insert member's name]'s official details**
- 3 The relevant legislation and protocols**
- 4 The evidence gathered**
- 5 Summary of the material facts**
- 6 [Insert member's name]'s additional submissions**
- 7 Reasoning as to whether there have been failures to comply with the Code of Conduct**
- 8 Finding**

**Appendix A Schedule of evidence taken into account and list of unused material**

**Appendix B Chronology of events**

## **1 Executive summary**

1.1 [Insert summary of allegation]

1.2 [Insert summary of Investigation outcome]

## **2 [Insert member's name]'s official details**

2.1 [Insert member's name] was elected to office on [insert date] for a term of [insert number] years. [insert member's name] is also a member of the following other relevant authorities: insert authority names].

2.2 [Insert member's name] currently serves on the following committees: [insert committee names] and has also served on [insert committee names] committees in recent years.

2.3 [If no longer a member, state how the period of office ceased]

2.4 [Insert member's name] gave a written undertaking to observe the Code of Conduct on [insert date].

2.5 [Insert member's name] has received the following training on the Code of Conduct [insert training details].

## **3 The relevant legislation and protocols**

3.1 The council has adopted a Code of Conduct in which the following paragraph[s] is/are included:

- [insert included paragraph]
- [insert included paragraph]
- [insert included paragraph]
- [insert included paragraph]

## **4 The evidence gathered**

4.1 I have taken account of oral evidence from [insert evidence details]



4.2 I have also taken account of documentary evidence obtained from [insert evidence details]

## **5 Summary of the material facts**

5.1 [Insert summary]

## **6 [Insert member's name]'s additional submissions**

6.1 [Insert submissions]

## **7 Reasoning as to whether there have been failures to comply with the Code of Conduct**

7.1 [Insert reasoning]

## **8 Finding**

8.1 [Insert finding]

## Appendix A

# Schedule of evidence taken into account

### Core documents

Doc No	Description	Pages
XX123	Complaint	1-

### Notes of telephone conversations, letters, and interviews with witnesses

Doc No	Description	Pages

### Minutes of meetings and other documentary evidence

Doc No	Description	Pages

**Comments on draft report**

Doc No	Description	Pages

## List of unused materials

## Appendix B

### Chronology of events

- [insert event]
- [insert event]
- [insert event]
- [insert event]
- [insert event]

# FORM A

## Subject Member's response to the evidence set out in the investigation report

Please enter the number of any paragraph in the investigation report where you disagree with the findings of fact, and give your reasons and your suggested alternative.

Paragraph number from the investigation report	Reasons for disagreeing with the findings of fact provided in that paragraph	Suggestion as to how the paragraph should read

# FORM B

## Other evidence relevant to the complaint

Please set out below, using the numbered paragraphs, any evidence you feel is relevant to the complaint made about you.

Paragraph number	Details of the evidence
1	
2	
3	

# FORM C

## Representations to be taken into account if a Subject Member is found to have failed to follow the Code of Conduct and referred for hearing by the Monitoring Officer

Please set out below, using the numbered paragraphs, any factors that the Committee should take into account if it finds that you have failed to follow the Code of Conduct. Please note that no such finding has yet been made.

Paragraph number	Factors for the Committee to take into account when deciding whether to impose a sanction
<b>1</b>	
<b>2</b>	
<b>3</b>	



# FORM D

## Arrangements for the Committee hearing

Please tick the relevant boxes.

<b>1</b>	Are you planning to attend the Committee hearing on the proposed date in the accompanying letter?  If 'No', please explain why.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>  No <input type="checkbox"/>	Reason:
<b>2</b>	Are you going to present your own case?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>  No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>3</b>	If you are not presenting your own case, will a representative present it for you?  If 'Yes', please state the name of your representative.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>  No <input type="checkbox"/>	Name:

<p><b>4</b></p>	<p>Is your representative a practising solicitor or barrister?</p> <p>If <b>'Yes'</b>, please give their legal qualifications. Then go to <b>Question 6</b>.</p> <p>If <b>'No'</b> please go to <b>Question 5</b>.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Qualifications:</p>
<p><b>5</b></p>	<p>Does your representative have any connection with your case?</p> <p>If <b>'Yes'</b>, please give details.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Details:</p>
<p><b>6</b></p>	<p>Are you going to call any witnesses?</p> <p>If <b>'Yes'</b>, please fill in Form E.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	

<p><b>7</b></p>	<p>Do you, your representative or your witnesses have any access difficulties? For example, is wheelchair access needed?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please give details.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Details:</p>
<p><b>8</b></p>	<p>Do you, your representative or witnesses have any special needs?</p> <p>For example, is an interpreter needed?</p> <p>If 'Yes' please give details</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Details:</p>
<p><b>9</b></p>	<p>Do you want any part of the hearing to be held in private?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please give reasons.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Reasons:</p>

**10**

Do you want any part of the relevant documents to be withheld from public inspection?

Yes

If so, please explain which documents/parts of documents and give reasons for withholding from public inspection.

No

If 'Yes', please give reasons.

Reasons:

# FORM E

## Details of proposed witnesses to be called

Name of witness or witnesses	1		
	2		
	3		
<b>Witness 1</b>			
<b>a</b>	Will the witness give evidence about the allegation?  If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>  No <input type="checkbox"/>	Outline of evidence:

<b>b</b>	<p>Will the witness give evidence about what action the Committee should take if it finds that the Code of Conduct has not been followed?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input data-bbox="639 275 683 320" type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input data-bbox="639 495 683 539" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Outline of evidence:</p>
----------	---	---	-----------------------------

## Witness 2

<b>a</b>	<p>Will the witness give evidence about the allegation?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Outline of evidence:</p>
<b>b</b>	<p>Will the witness give evidence about what action the Committee should take if it finds that the Code of Conduct has not been followed?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Outline of evidence:</p>

### Witness 3

<b>a</b>	<p>Will the witness give evidence about the allegation?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Outline of evidence:</p>
<b>b</b>	<p>Will the witness give evidence about what action the Committee should take if it finds that the Code of Conduct has not been followed?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide an outline of the evidence the witness will give.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <input type="checkbox"/>  <p>No</p> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Outline of evidence:</p>



# FORM F

## Checklist for the pre-hearing process summary

After the Committee has received responses from the Subject Member and the Monitoring Officer, it should prepare a summary of the main aspects of the case that will be heard.

The pre-hearing process summary should include:

<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the authority
<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the subject member
<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the complainant (unless there are good reasons to keep their identity confidential)
<input type="checkbox"/>	case reference number
<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the Committee Member who will chair the hearing
<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the Monitoring Officer
<input type="checkbox"/>	the name of the clerk of the hearing or other administrative officer
<input type="checkbox"/>	The name of the Independent Person
<input type="checkbox"/>	the date the pre-hearing process summary was produced
<input type="checkbox"/>	the date, time and place of the hearing
<input type="checkbox"/>	a summary of the complaint

<input type="checkbox"/>	the relevant section or sections of the Code of Conduct
<input type="checkbox"/>	the findings of fact in the investigation report that are agreed
<input type="checkbox"/>	the findings of fact in the investigation report that are not agreed
<input type="checkbox"/>	whether the Subject Member or the Investigating Officer will attend or be represented
<input type="checkbox"/>	the names of any witnesses who will be asked to give evidence
<input type="checkbox"/>	an outline of the proposed procedure for the hearing

**MODEL HEARING PROCEDURE FOR THE COMMITTEE**

**Interpretation**

1. 'Subject Member' means the member of the authority who is the subject of the allegation being considered by the Committee, unless stated otherwise. It also includes the Subject Member's nominated representative.
2. 'Investigating Officer' means the Monitoring Officer or other Investigating Officer and his or her nominated representative.
3. 'Committee' refers to the Hearing Committee, a Sub-Committee of the Standards Committee.
4. 'Legal advisor' means the officer responsible for providing legal advice to the Committee. This may be the Monitoring Officer, another legally qualified officer of the authority, or someone appointed for this purpose from outside the authority.
5. "Independent Person" means the individual appointed by the Council under the Localism Act 2011 whose view will be sought and taken into account by the Committee before it makes a decision on the allegation.

**Representation**

6. The Subject Member may be represented or accompanied during the meeting by a solicitor, counsel or, with the permission of the Committee, another person.

**Legal Advice**

7. The Committee may take legal advice from its legal advisor at any time during the hearing or while they are considering the outcome. The substance of any legal advice given to the Committee should be shared with the Subject Member and the Investigating Officer if they are present.

**Setting the scene**

8. After all the members and everyone involved have been formally introduced, the Chairman should explain how the Committee is going to run the hearing.

**Preliminary procedural issues**

9. The Committee should then resolve any issues or disagreements about how the hearing should continue, which have not been resolved during the pre-hearing process.

**Making findings of fact**

10. After dealing with any preliminary issues, the Committee should then move on to consider whether or not there are any significant disagreements about the facts contained in the investigator's report.

11. If there is no disagreement about the facts, the Committee can move on to the

next stage of the hearing.

12. If there is a disagreement, the Investigating Officer, if present, should be invited to make any necessary representations to support the relevant findings of fact in the report. With the Committee's permission, the Investigating Officer may call any necessary supporting witnesses to give evidence. The Committee may give the Subject Member an opportunity to challenge any evidence put forward by any witness called by the Investigating Officer.

13. The Subject Member should then have the opportunity to make representations to support his or her version of the facts and, with the Committee's permission, to call any necessary witnesses to give evidence.

14. At any time, the Committee may question any of the people involved or any of the witnesses, and may allow the Investigating Officer to challenge any evidence put forward by witnesses called by the Subject Member.

15. If the Subject Member disagrees with most of the facts, it may make sense for the Investigating Officer to start by making representations on all the relevant facts, instead of discussing each fact individually.

16. If the Subject Member disagrees with any relevant fact in the Investigating Officer's report, without having given prior notice of the disagreement, he or she must give good reasons for not mentioning it before the hearing. If the Investigating Officer is not present the Committee will consider whether or not it would be in the public interest to continue in his or her absence. After considering the Subject Member's explanation for not raising the issue at an earlier stage, the Committee may then:-

- (a) continue with the hearing, relying on the information in the Investigating Officer's report;
- (b) allow the Subject Member to make representations about the issue, and invite the Investigating Officer to respond and call any witnesses, as necessary; or
- (c) postpone the hearing to arrange for appropriate witnesses to be present, or for the Investigating Officer to be present if he or she is not already.

**17. Cross-examination will not be permitted at the hearing and any questions will be at the discretion of and through the Chairman of the Committee.**

18. The Committee will usually move to another room to consider the representations and evidence in private.

19. On their return, the Chairman will announce the Committee's findings of fact.

**Did the Subject Member fail to follow the Code?**

20. The Committee then needs to consider whether or not, based on the facts it has found, the Subject Member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct.

21. The Subject Member should be invited to give relevant reasons why the Committee should not decide, based on the findings of fact, that he or she has failed to follow the Code.

22. The Committee should then consider any verbal or written representations from the Investigating Officer.

23. The Committee should then consider any verbal or written representations from the Independent Person.

24. The Committee may, at any time, question anyone involved on any point they raised in their representations.

25. The Subject Member should be invited to make any final relevant points.

26. The Committee will then move to another room to consider the representations.

27. On their return, the Chairman will announce the Committee's decision as to whether or not the Subject Member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct.

### **If the Subject Member has not failed to follow the Code of Conduct**

28. If the Committee decides that the Subject Member has not failed to follow the Code of Conduct, the Committee can move on to consider whether it should make any recommendations to the authority.

### **If the Subject Member has failed to follow the Code**

29. If the Committee decides that the Subject Member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct, it will consider any verbal or written representations from the Investigating Officer, the Independent Person and the Subject Member as to:

- (a) Whether or not the Committee should set a penalty; and
- (b) What form any penalty should take

30. The Committee may question the Investigating Officer, Independent Person and Subject Member, and take legal advice, to make sure they have the information they need in order to make an informed decision.

31. The Committee will then move to another room to consider whether or not to impose a penalty on the Subject Member and, if so, what the penalty should be.

32. On their return, the Chairman will announce the Committee's decision.

### **Recommendations to the authority**

33. After considering any verbal or written representations from the Investigating Officer, the Committee will consider whether or not it should make any recommendations to the authority, with a view to promoting high standards of conduct among members.

### **The written decision**

34. The Committee will announce its decision on the day and provide a short written decision on that day. **It will also issue a full written decision within two weeks of the hearing.** It is good practice to prepare the full written decision in draft on the day of the hearing before people's memories fade.