
PLANNING COMMITTEE - EAST SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

Tuesday, 4 February 2025
(Published on Thursday, 6 February 2025)

Item No.	Title
5	<p>Planning Application 2021/1675/EOUT - Land South of Frome bounded by Marston Road, B3092/Railway Line and A361, Frome</p>
	<p>RESOLVED</p> <p>To NOTE that planning application 2021/1675/EOUT was called in by the Secretary of State on 3 February 2025. and that a further report will be brought back to the Committee (once the Inquiry timetable is published) for Members:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. to determine the LPA's position and the contents of its Statement of Case/Written Statement to the Local Inquiry; and 2. to address the specific matters referred to in Paragraph 7 of the Call-in Letter that the SoS/Inspector wishes to be informed about for the purposes of her consideration of the application. <p>Votes – 12 for, 1 abstention</p>
6	<p>Planning Application 2023/1070/FUL - Land North of Styles Close, Frome</p>
	<p>RESOLVED</p> <p>That planning application 2023/1070/FUL be REFUSED contrary to the Officer's recommendation due to significant harms that would be caused to residential amenity and limitations of the accessibility of the site, which would be contrary to Policies DP1 and DP7. The harms identified outweighed the benefits of the scheme.</p>

	Votes – 11 for, 2 against
7	Planning Application 2024/0750/FUL - Farrington Golf Club, Marsh Lane, Ston Easton, Wells
	<p>RESOLVED</p> <p>That planning application 2024/0750/FUL be APPROVED in accordance with the Officer's recommendation with an additional pre-commencement condition for details of the bund and additional landscaping features to be submitted to the Council for approval and thereafter implemented in full.</p> <p>Votes – 8 for, 4 against</p>
8	Planning Application 2024/0407/FUL - The Lucky Chance, Portway, Frome
	<p>RESOLVED</p> <p>That Officers be given delegated authority to GRANT planning application 2024/0407/FUL contrary to the Officer's recommendation, subject to evidence being provided by the applicant to demonstrate that the building would be capable of supporting the weight of the panels and associated elements. The benefits of the scheme outweighed the harms identified to heritage assets.</p> <p>Votes – Unanimous</p>