## Tenant Services Management

Board

## You are requested to attend a meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board to be held in The John Meikle Room, The Deane House, Belvedere Road, Taunton on 19 June 2017 at 18:00.

## Agenda

1 Apologies.
2 Minutes of the meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board held on 24 April 2017 (attached).

3 Public Question Time.
4 Declaration of Interests
To receive declarations of personal or prejudicial interests, in accordance with the Code of Conduct.

5 Financial Monitoring Quarter Four 2016/17. Report of the Principal Accountant (attached).

Reporting Officer: Steve Meers
6 Performance Indicators Quarter Four 2016/17. Report of the Assistant Director Housing and Community Development and the Assistant Director - Property and Development (attached).

Reporting Officers: Terry May
Simon Lewis

The following items are likely to be considered after the exclusion of the press and public because of the likelihood that exempt information would otherwise be disclosed relating to the Clause set out below of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

7 Development Update. Confidential report of the Development Manager (attached).

Reporting Officer: Rachel Searle

22 February 2018

Members of the public are welcome to attend the meeting and listen to the discussions.
There is time set aside at the beginning of most meetings to allow the public to ask questions.

Speaking under "Public Question Time" is limited to 4 minutes per person in an overall period of 15 minutes. The Committee Administrator will keep a close watch on the time and the Chairman will be responsible for ensuring the time permitted does not overrun. The speaker will be allowed to address the Committee once only and will not be allowed to participate further in any debate.

Except at meetings of Full Council, where public participation will be restricted to Public Question Time only, if a member of the public wishes to address the Committee on any matter appearing on the agenda, the Chairman will normally permit this to occur when that item is reached and before the Councillors or Tenant Services Management Board Members begin to debate the item.

This is more usual at meetings of the Council's Planning Committee and details of the "rules" which apply at these meetings can be found in the leaflet "Having Your Say on Planning Applications". A copy can be obtained free of charge from the Planning Reception Desk at The Deane House or by contacting the telephone number or e-mail address below.

If an item on the agenda is contentious, with a large number of people attending the meeting, a representative should be nominated to present the views of a group.

These arrangements do not apply to exempt (confidential) items on the agenda where any members of the press or public present will be asked to leave the Committee Room.

Full Council, Executive, Committees and Task and Finish Review agendas, reports and minutes are available on our website: www.tauntondeane.gov.uk

낭
Lift access to the John Meikle Room and the other Committee Rooms on the first floor of The Deane House, is available from the main ground floor entrance. Toilet facilities, with wheelchair access, are also available off the landing directly outside the Committee Rooms.

An induction loop operates to enhance sound for anyone wearing a hearing aid or using a transmitter.

For further information about the meeting, please contact the Corporate Support Unit on 01823356414 or email r.bryant@tauntondeane.gov.uk

If you would like an agenda, a report or the minutes of a meeting translated into another language or into Braille, large print, audio tape or CD, please telephone us on 01823 356356 or e-mail us at: enquiries@tauntondeane.gov.uk

## Tenant Services Management Board Members:-

Mr A Akhigbemen
Councillor C Booth
Councillor R Bowrah, BEM
Mrs J Bunn
Mr D Galpin
Mrs J Hegarty
Mr K Hellier
Mr I Hussey

Minutes of the Annual General Meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board held on 24 April 2017 at 6.00pm in the John Meikle Room, The Deane House, Belvedere Road, Taunton.

Present: Mr R Balman (Chairman)
Mr A Akhigbemen, Mrs J Bunn, Mrs J Hegarty, Mr K Hellier, Mr I Hussey and Councillors C Booth and R Bowrah.

Officers: James Barrah (Director - Housing and Communities), Terry May (Assistant Director - Property and Development), Stephen Boland (Housing Services Lead), Rich Prewer (Property Services Manager), Martin Price (Tenant Empowerment Manager) and Clare Rendell (Democratic Services Officer)
(The meeting commenced at 6.00pm)

## 1. Apologies

Apologies were received from Mr D Galpin.
2. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board held on 27 March 2017 were taken as read and were signed.
3. Public Question Time

No questions received for Public Question Time.

## 4. Declarations of Interests

Mr Akhigbemen, Mr R Balman, Mrs J Bunn, Mrs J Hegarty, Mr K Hellier and Mr I Hussey declared personal interests as Taunton Deane Borough Council Housing Tenants.

## 5. Tenant Services Management Board 2016/17

The Chairman of the Tenant Services Management Board (TSMB) gave a verbal update welcoming those present to the seventh Annual General Meeting and reflected on some of the Board's work over the past year.

The following was a summary of the Chairman's reflection of the Board's activity over the past 12 months:-

- The Chairman thanked the Board Members for their attendance and participation.
- There had been a lot of home improvements carried out on the housing stock which included extra insolation, bathroom improvements, replacement heating and replacement external doors.
- In February 2017, Board Members were invited to inspect the new development Seabrook House in Creechbarrow Road. The properties were very spacious and well-constructed.
- In March 2017, the Chairman attended a Disabled Adaptations workshop at Somerset County Cricket Club and also visited the new Deane DLO Depot in Wellington.
- The new contract for Extra Care Housing commenced with the company Way Ahead.
- Welfare Reform and the new Universal Credit Scheme were rolled out within the Borough and the Chairman hoped that the tenants would soon see the benefits of the new scheme.
- The TSMB had sent three Board Members to the Tenant Participation Advisory Service conference to learn from other Councils and social housing providers, which they found were very informative.
- Taunton Deane Borough Council (TDBC) Chief Executive had attended the last TSMB meeting and gave a verbal update on the Transformation Project. The talk was informative and interesting.
- It had been a productive year for the TSMB and the Chairman hoped they had helped to make the properties better places to live for the tenants.

Resolved that the Chairperson's report be noted.

## 6. The Year ahead for Housing and Communities

The Director for Housing and Communities gave a verbal update relating to the Housing Revenue Account and the Council projects for the forthcoming year and updated the Board on the wider Council issues as well as local issues which effected this Council and its Tenants.

Below was a summary of the main points from the update:-
Corporate Issues. The next twelve months were expected to be challenging. This included the Secretary of State's decision, the Transformation Project and both County and General Elections. This was going to be a significant year for the Council with impacts on staff expected.
Locality Working. This included improvements in the way staff within the One Team worked and would explore local involvement in both urban and rural areas to become integrated within those communities.
Housing Revenue Account (HRA). A review on the Business Plan was carried out. This looked at cost reductions mainly with repairs and maintenance.
New IT Projects. The Council had been involved in several new IT projects which included ITrent for HR and Payroll, E5 for Finance and the new website for TDBC.
Hinkley Point Development. Although this project did not fall within the boundary of TDBC, the Director wanted to give the TSMB an update as it would have an impact on the infrastructure with the TDBC area. The Council had new posts to appoint within the Housing team to help facilitate movement within the housing sector to free up accommodation for Hinkley Point workers.
Universal Credit. The new scheme had gone live in October 2016 within the Borough. At this time it was difficult to assess the full impact the scheme had on tenants. This would be carried out at the end of the financial year.
Introductory/Flexible Tenancies. The Council was due to introduce these in June 2017 and had the potential to last up to five years.
Adaptations. There was a recent workshop with partners who worked on adaptations and they had recognised the ability to work smarter and introduce best practice.
New Extra Care Provider. The contract with Way Ahead had commenced at Kilkenny Lodge.
Customer Project. A review on customer satisfaction level was carried out and staff training was provided.

Grounds Maintenance Review. The results should have a significant impact for tenants.
Business Plan Review. This was for a renewed Tenancy Strategy and ensured the Council was engaged with customers. It included tenancies, customer expectations and service delivery. This strategy was one of three service reviews of the HRA.
Apprenticeships. There were challenges but the Council had now recruited an apprentice within the Housing Management team.
Property Area. The Deane DLO were making progress with their new depot. However, they were still experiencing problems with their IT reporting. A new manager had started and had a very positive outlook and significant improvements had been made on the capital spend.
Quick Time. The gas maintenance contract was now back in house and they hoped to be able to sell this service to external customers in the future.
Asset Management. The department was operating at low capacity which had proved challenging.
Accommodation Projects. Staff were involved in accommodation projects at TDBC, West Somerset Council and Moorland House and were looking into a base in the North Taunton area and had two options. A review of the meeting halls was also carried out (this included guest rooms which were vacant).
Regeneration. The department continued with work on new schemes and had made good progress on the new developments. White Papers on Housing had been produced.

The Director for Housing and Communities thanked the Board Members for their attendance and participation throughout the year.

During the discussion of this item the following points were made:-

- Board Members queried if the high value sales mentioned in the update would be domestic or commercial?
The Government decided which void properties this would be and was due to a change in the Government policy on levies.
- Concern was raised on recruiting apprenticeships.

The Housing Managers had worked with the local colleges in Taunton and Bridgwater to advertise the apprenticeships available at the Council. They had offered packages but the salary on offer seemed to be a deterrent to the students. The Housing Managers were still eager to encourage young people in to the trade and were willing to discuss this with individual Board Members to alleviate any concerns.

Resolved that the Officer's report be noted.

## 7. DLO Operational Challenges and Opportunities for Improvement

The Property Services Manager presented the report which updated the TSMB on the current situation within Property Services.

The development of a repairs and maintenance Operational Delivery Plan and Key Performance Indicators (KPI) Scorecard was designed to ensure we delivered an excellent repairs and maintenance service for tenants and leaseholders that saw performance in the top quartile as a benchmark norm and enabled residents to live in well maintained, warm and safe homes that contributed to sustainable communities.

The KPI and Plan concentrated on the delivery and the development of the repairs service. It was noted that the data currently contained in the KPI Scorecard was for illustrative purposes only, it was too early in the current financial year to have accurate information available. The Scorecard would be populated with precise information as the year progressed.

The Property Services Manager recognised the importance of a high performing value for money appointable repairs service. He would continue to improve levels of service delivery and reduce the reliance on responsive maintenance and adopt national best practice.

Property Services would focus on three main priorities this year. These were financial performance, cultural change and customer satisfaction.

The desired cultural change had started to take shape and put the customer at the heart of Property Services. Contractors had also been trained to deliver services which mirrored the Council's approach.

To compliment this, Property Services had joined two organisations that would offer assurances on how the change was measured. The Association of Gas Safety Managers would offer advice and guidance on best practice for the new in house gas team. The Considerate Constructors Scheme would carry out visits on a six monthly basis to both the depot and tenants homes to ensure that exceptional service was delivered.

During the discussion of this item the following comments were made:-

- Board Members were impressed with the work carried out at the new Deane DLO Depot. They queried how big a problem was the IT issue? The staff were working hard with IT to solve the issues but it was causing problems with reporting work that had been carried out made it labour intensive. The Property Services Manager advised the Board that he would keep them up to date with progress made.
- How would the work carried out by the contractors be policed? The contractors have been trained the same as TDBC staff. The Property Services Manager wanted to facilitate the potential within all staff. He would hope that tenants would report any issues with staff and contractors alike.

Resolved that the content of the report be noted and the recommendations be endorsed.

## 8. Review of Tenant Services Management Board Terms of Reference and Code of Conduct

The Tenant Empowerment Manager presented the report which reviewed the Terms of Reference and Code of Conduct of the TSMB and requested that the Board Members considered a proposed amendment.

The TSMB had first met in April 2010 and one of the first agenda items it had considered was for the Terms of Reference and Code of Conduct. These documents detailed the role, aims, objectives and expected conduct of the board and were agreed at the start of the board's existence. They were subsequently reviewed and updated at the TSMB Annual General Meeting of 2012, 2014 and 2016.

An amendment had been proposed to change when elections were held for the TSMB. Currently the Terms of Reference stated that elections should be held every two years. It was proposed that elections should be held every three years. This would allow board members to become more experienced in their role.

Resolved that:-

1) The content of the report be noted.
2) The current version of the TSMB Terms of Reference and Code of Conduct (contained in appendix $A$ and $B$ in the report) be approved and required changes agreed.
(The meeting ended at 7.10pm)

## Declaration of Interests

## Tenant Services Management Board

- Declared a personal interests as a Taunton Deane Borough Council Housing Tenants;
- Mr R Balman
- Mrs J Bunn
- Mr D Galpin
- Mrs J Hegarty
- Mr K Hellier
- Mr I Hussey
- Mr A Akhigbemen


## Taunton Deane Borough Council

## Tenant Services Management Board - 19 June 2017

Financial Monitoring - Outturn 2016/17

This matter is the responsibility of Executive Councillor Terry Beale
Report Author: Stephen Meers Principal Accountant

## 1 Executive Summary

1.1 This report contains information related to the Council's financial performance for 2016/17 financial year. Monitoring the budget is an important part of the Council's performance management framework.
1.2 The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is a 'Self-Financing' account for the Council's Housing Landlord function, which is budgeted to 'break even' (net of approved transfers to/from HRA Reserves). The HRA Outturn for $2016 / 17$ is a net underspend of $£ 0.882 \mathrm{~m}$ (3.3\% of gross income).
1.3 The HRA approved Capital Programme at the end of $2016 / 17$ was $£ 20.129 \mathrm{~m}$. This relates to schemes which will be completed over the next five years. The actual expenditure on the Capital Programme during $2016 / 17$ was $£ 10.762$ m, as summarised in Table 4 below, with $£ 9.399$ m for planned investment to implement approved schemes in future years. A net overspend of $£ 0.033 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $0 \%$ ) is reported against the overall programme.
1.4 The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Reserve balance as at 31 March 2017 stands at $£ 3.224 \mathrm{~m}$, which is above the minimum level ( $£ 1.800 \mathrm{~m}$ ) set within the Council's Budget Strategy and HRA Business Plan.

## 2 Recommendations

2.1 The Board reviews the Council's financial performance and end of year position for the Housing Revenue Account.
2.2 The board is recommended to:
(a) note the reported HRA Revenue Budget underspend of $£ 0.882 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2016/17
(b) support a Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme Budget Carry Forward totalling $£ 9.399 \mathrm{~m}$ (as set out in Appendix B).
(c) support $£ 0.590 \mathrm{~m}$ Supplementary Budget allocations in 2017/18 for the HRA, utilising 2016/17 underspends, for the following areas:
i. $£ 0.250 \mathrm{~m}$ to fund supplemental technical development support capacity to help the in house team on complex sites.
ii. $£ 0.025 \mathrm{~m}$ - the Halcon One Team Co-ordinator has needed to move to other Police operational activities and this has left a significant gap in our ability to undertake effective One Team working in Halcon. The Police are reviewing this as part of their current restructure however the funding is needed now to replace the Co-ordinator post until 31/3/2018.
iii. $£ 0.055 m$ - Funding to provide additional capacity of 1 FTE for 18 months on anti-social behaviour. The current anti-social behaviour team is under significant and unsustainable pressure with a marked increase in drug and alcohol issues on our housing estates and associated anti-social behaviour. An increase in ‘County Lines’ activity which has included people 'cuckooing' in our properties has also contributed to our need for some additional capacity.
iv. $£ 0.070 \mathrm{~m}$ - the current hardwired Piper lifelines in our sheltered housing is becoming obsolete and needs replacement. Although there is a replacement programme to do this, we require an immediate injection of funding to address some urgent schemes where there is a risk of the lifelines failing.
v. $£ 0.040 m$ - Ladymead Road commercial units have proactively been vacated due to lessees having financial difficulties. The funding is required, as an investigation is underway to consider; either revamp and upgrade the units for remarketing as commercial premises, or to make a change of use and convert to a community hub for Housing \& Communities to work out from similarly as the One Team.
vi. $£ 0.150 \mathrm{~m}$ - there are a number of properties that have significant failure in thermal performance and water ingress, mainly from failing cavity insulation. It is therefore proposed that $£ 150 \mathrm{~K}$ of the underspend is used to address a selection of options as a pilot. There will be a need for a greater budget for Fabric First measures, which we will find from savings and reallocation of elemental capital works budgets going forward.

## 3 Background and Full details of the Report

3.1 This report informs the Tenants Services Management Board the Council's financial outturn (a comparison of net spending against the budget for the year) for revenue and capital budgets in 2016/17 for the Housing Revenue Account (HRA).

## 4 2016/17 Financial Performance

4.1 The HRA is a 'Self-Financing' account for the Council's Housing Landlord function, which is budgeted to break-even (net of approved transfers to/from HRA Reserves). The HRA Revenue Outturn for $2016 / 17$ is a net surplus of $£ 0.882 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $3.3 \%$ of gross income).

Table 1: HRA Outturn Summary

|  | Budget | Outturn | Variance |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ |  |
| Gross Income | $(26,768)$ | $(27,116)$ | $(348)$ | $(1 \%)$ |
| Service Expenditure | 12,421 | 12,142 | $(279)$ | $(1 \%)$ |
| Other Operating Costs and Income | 5,742 | 5,525 | $(217)$ | $(1 \%)$ |
| Earmarked Reserve Transfers | $(333)$ | $(333)$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Capital Financing and Debt Repayment | 8,715 | 8,677 | $(38)$ | $0 \%$ |
| Technical Accounting Adjustments | 223 | 223 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Net Variance | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 8 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 8 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 \% )}$ |

4.2 The HRA Revenue Outturn for 2016/17 is provided in more detail in Appendix A to this report.
4.3 The Forecast Outturn as at Quarter 2 (September 2016) was an under-recovery of $£ 0.129 \mathrm{~m}$. The main differences between the reported variances at Quarter 2 and the year-end Outturn are summarised in Table 13 below.

Table 2: Main Differences between Q2 and Outturn Variances

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ \text { £000 } \end{gathered}$ | Change £000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } \\ \text { £000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling Rents and Service Charges | (280) | (68) | (348) |
| Housing Management | (59) | (46) | (105) |
| Repairs and Maintenance | 212 | (386) | (174) |
| Other Expenditure | 38 | 55 | 93 |
| Depreciation and Revenue Contribution to Capital Programme | 0 | (38) | (38) |
| Interest Payable | 0 | (259) | (259) |
| Interest Receivable | (40) | (11) | (51) |
| Total | (129) | (753) | (882) |

4.4 The major under and over spends forecast for year are summarised as follows:
4.5 Dwelling Rents and Service Charges: Void rate is lower than budgeted leading to additional income of $£ 68 \mathrm{k}$. Income from service charges is $£ 106 \mathrm{k}$ higher than budget
4.6 Leasehold Income: Income from leaseholders is higher than budgeted by $£ 135 \mathrm{k}$ to reflect the increased cost of maintenance on shared blocks.
4.7 Housing Management: There is underspend in IT costs of $£ 44 \mathrm{k}$ as new IT systems were not implemented. RTB income of $£ 39 \mathrm{k}$ was received which can now be included in the business plan. There was in year saving in Tenant Empowerment staffing of £22k.
4.8 Repairs and Maintenance-Responsive and Voids: There was underspend in responsive heating work of $£ 19 \mathrm{k}$ and underspend on repairs to voids of $£ 155 \mathrm{k}$
4.9 Other Expenditure: Additional expenditure on grounds maintenance and contribution towards the e5 financial system.
4.10 Interest Payable: Due to healthy reserves, external borrowing has not yet been needed for the new development schemes, such as Creechbarrow Road. This has therefore reduced the interest payable in 2016/17.
4.11 Interest Receivable: Healthy reserves and an increasing interest rate has led to higher income from investments during 2016/17.

## Housing Revenue Unearmarked Account Reserves

4.12 The HRA reserves at the start of the year were $£ 2.675 \mathrm{~m}$, and the Council approved allocations totalling $£ 0.333 \mathrm{~m}$ throughout $2016 / 17$ reducing the budgeted balance to $£ 2.342 \mathrm{~m}$. The surplus of $£ 0.882 \mathrm{~m}$ in $2016 / 17$ increases the balance to $£ 3.224 \mathrm{~m}$. This is above the minimum recommended reserve level of $£ 1.800 \mathrm{~m}$ by $£ 1.424 \mathrm{~m}$.

Table 3: General Reserve Balance

|  | $£ \mathrm{k}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance Brought Forward 1 April 2016 | 2,675 |
| Supplementary Estimates |  |
| Initiatives approved utilising 2015/16 underspend - July Full Council | $(333)$ |
| Budgeted Balance March 2017 | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 2}$ |
| Outturn 2016/17 | 882 |
| Balance Carried Forward 31 March 2016 | $\mathbf{3 , 2 2 4}$ |
| Recommended Minimum Balance | 1,800 |
| Balance above recommended minimum | 1,424 |

4.13 If recommendation 2.2 e ( i to vi ) is approved as part of this outturn report, this will be funded from the above reserves balance in $2016 / 17$ thus reducing it to $£ 2.634 \mathrm{~m}$. This would leave HRA general reserves at $£ 0.834 \mathrm{~m}$ above the recommended minimum balance. This position has been taken into account in the review of the HRA Business Plan.

## 5 Recommendations for use of the 2016/17 HRA Underspend

5.1 The 2016/17 underspend has allowed the HRA Reserves to remain at a level
comfortably above the recommended minimum balance.
5.2 It is therefore recommended that funds are allocated from the 2016/17 underspend in respect of the following, as set out in Recommendations 2.2c:

|  |  | £k |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technical Development Support | to fund supplemental technical development support capacity to help the in house team on complex sites. | 250 |
| Halcon One Team Coordinator | the Halcon One Team Co-ordinator has needed to move to other Police operational activities and this has left a significant gap in our ability to undertake effective One Team working in Halcon. The Police are reviewing this as part of their current restructure however the funding is needed now to replace the Co-ordinator post until 31/3/2018. | 25 |
| Anti Social Behaviour post | Funding to provide additional capacity of 1 FTE for 18 months on anti-social behaviour. The current anti-social behaviour team is under significant and unsustainable pressure with a marked increase in drug and alcohol issues on our housing estates and associated anti-social behaviour. An increase in 'County Lines' activity which has included people 'cuckooing' in our properties has also contributed to our need for some additional capacity. | 55 |
| Piper <br> Replacement | - the current hardwired Piper lifelines in our sheltered housing is becoming obsolete and needs replacement. Although there is a replacement programme to do this, we require an immediate injection of funding to address some urgent schemes where there is a risk of the lifelines failing. | 70 |
| Ladymead Road | Ladymead Road commercial units have proactively been vacated due to lessees having financial difficulties. The funding is required, as an investigation is underway to consider; either revamp and upgrade the units for remarketing as commercial premises, or to make a change of use and convert to a community hub for Housing \& Communities to work out from similarly as the One Team | 40 |
| Fabric First | there are a number of properties that have significant failure in thermal performance and water ingress, mainly from failing cavity insulation. It <br> is therefore proposed that $£ 150 \mathrm{~K}$ of the underspend is used to address a selection of options as a pilot. There will be a need for a greater budget for Fabric First measures, which we will find from savings and reallocation of elemental capital works budgets going forward. | 150 |
|  | Total | 590 |

## 6 HRA Capital Programme

6.1 The HRA approved Capital Programme at the end of $2016 / 17$ was $£ 20.129$ m. This relates to schemes which will be completed over the next five years. The Council is supporting this investment through the use of Capital Receipts, Revenue Funding and Borrowing. The profiled budget on the Capital Programme during 2016/17 was $£ 20.129 \mathrm{~m}$, as summarised in Table 15 below, with $£ 9.399 \mathrm{~m}$ being carried forward and a net overspend of $£ 0.032 \mathrm{~m}$ being reported.
6.2 The major areas of capital spend during the year related to the capital maintenance for the existing housing stock and the development and acquisition of new stock.
6.3 Appendix B provides a breakdown of the HRA Capital Programme Outturn by scheme, and also sets out the proposed Carry Forward.

Table 4: HRA Capital Programme 2016/17 Outturn Summary

|  | £'000 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approved Capital Programme Budget | 20,129 | 47\% |
| Re-profiled forecast of spending Carried Forward to 2017/18 | $(9,399)$ |  |
| Residual budget for 2016/17 | 10,730 |  |
| 2016/17 actual capital expenditure | 10,762 |  |
| Overspend | 32 | 0\% |

6.4 The capital programme can be split into two distinct areas:

## Major Works and Improvements:

6.5 From a budget of $£ 10.743 m$, a total of $£ 8.347$ m was spent in $2016 / 17$. This includes $£ 1.552 \mathrm{~m}$ on bathrooms, $£ 4.234 \mathrm{~m}$ on heating improvements, $£ 0.668 \mathrm{~m}$ on air source heat pumps, $£ 0.504 \mathrm{~m}$ on doors, $£ 0.722 \mathrm{~m}$ on fascias and soffits and $£ 0.274 \mathrm{~m}$ on door entry systems. A total of $£ 0.924 \mathrm{~m}$ was spent on related items such as asbestos removal, Disabled Facility Grants, Environmental Improvements and Aids and Adaptations.
6.6 A total of $£ 2.395$ m has been carried forward into later years as the HRA Major Repairs and Improvement Fund.

## Development:

6.7 A total of $£ 1.490 \mathrm{~m}$ has been spent on new housing throughout 2016/17. The Creechbarrow Road and Weavers Arms developments include carry forwards of $£ 3.994 \mathrm{~m}$ with the schemes are due to complete in 2017/18.

## 7 Finance / Resource Implications

7.1 Contained within the body of the report.

## 8 Legal Implications

7.1 There are no legal implications associated with this report.

## List of Appendices

| Appendix A | Housing Revenue Account Outturn Summary |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B | Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme Outturn Summary |

## Contact Officers

| Name | Stephen Meers | Name |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct Dial | 01823358688 | Direct Dial |  |
| Email | s.meers@tauntondeane.gov.uk | Email |  |

## APPENDIX A

HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT OUTTURN SUMMARY 2016/17

|  | Target Budget £'000 | Total Outturn Position £'000 | Variance £'000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income |  |  |  |
| HRA Dwelling Rents | $(24,614)$ | $(24,682)$ | (68) |
| HRA Non Dwelling Rents | (610) | (590) | 20 |
| HRA Charges for Services/Facilities | (995) | $(1,242)$ | (247) |
| HRA Contributions Towards Expenditure | (549) | (602) | (54) |
| Total Income | $(26,768)$ | $(27,116)$ | (348) |
| Expenditure |  |  |  |
| Housing Management | 6,299 | 6,194 | (105) |
| Repairs \& Maintenance - Planned | 1,526 | 1,535 | 9 |
| Repairs \& Maintenance - Responsive | 2,953 | 2,925 | (28) |
| Repairs \& Maintenance - Voids | 1,643 | 1,488 | (155) |
| Other Expenditure | 1,812 | 1,905 | 93 |
| Total Expenditure | 14,233 | 14,047 | (186) |
| Central Costs/Movement in Reserves |  |  |  |
| Social Housing Development Fund | 1,000 | 1,000 | 0 |
| Depreciation and Revenue Contribution to Capital Programme | 7,708 | 7,670 | (38) |
| Net Interest Payable | 2,930 | 2,620 | (310) |
| Provision for the Repayment of Debt | 1,007 | 1,007 | 0 |
| Provision for Bad Debt | 223 | 223 | 0 |
| Other Movement in Reserves | (333) | (333) | 0 |
| Total Central Costs/MIRs | 12,535 | 12,187 | (348) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Housing Revenue Account | 0 | (882) | (882) |

APPENDIX B
HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT CAPITAL PROGRAMME OUTTURN 2016/17

| Scheme | Budget | Outturn | Variance | Carried Forward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 / 17 \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | £ | £ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 / 18 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| Major Works |  |  |  |  |
| Kitchens | 120,000 | 228,802 | $(108,802)$ |  |
| Bathrooms | 1,450,000 | 1,552,072 | $(102,072)$ |  |
| Roofing | 100,000 | 1,208 | 98,792 |  |
| Windows | 50,000 | 14,717 | 35,283 |  |
| Heating Improvements | 2,604,000 | 4,234,322 | $(1,630,322)$ |  |
| Doors | 450,000 | 503,693 | $(53,693)$ |  |
| Fire Safety Works in Communal Areas | 225,000 | 122,843 | 102,157 |  |
| Fascias and Soffits | 750,000 | 722,299 | 27,701 |  |
| Air Source Heat Pumps | 680,000 | 667,555 | 12,445 |  |
| Door Entry Systems | 300,000 | 274,330 | 25,670 |  |
| Other External Insulations | 10,000 | 25,550 | $(15,550)$ |  |
| HRA Major Repairs and Improvement Fund | 4,004,000 | 0 | 4,004,000 | 2,395,000 |
| Total Major Works | 10,743,000 | 8,347,391 | 2,395,609 | 2,395,000 |
| Improvements |  |  |  |  |
| Aids and Adaptations | 120,000 | 108,899 | 11,101 | 11,101 |
| DFGs | 315,000 | 277,218 | 37,782 | 37,782 |
| Garages | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Meeting Halls | 71,000 | 28,846 | 42,154 | 42,154 |
| Unadopted Areas | 21,000 | 26,479 | $(5,479)$ |  |
| Asbestos Works | 331,000 | 345,023 | $(14,023)$ |  |
| Tenants Improvements | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |  |
| Sustainable Energy Fund | 224,000 | 0 | 224,000 | 224,000 |
| Environmental Improvements | 291,000 | 115,814 | 175,186 | 175,186 |
| Extensions | 158,000 | 905 | 157,095 | 157,095 |
| Community Alarms | 5,000 | 5,021 | (21) |  |
| IT Development | 394,000 | 0 | 394,000 | 394,000 |
| PV Systems | 0 | 16,260 | $(16,260)$ |  |
| Total Improvements | 1,965,000 | 924,465 | 1,040,535 | 1,071,318 |
| Social Housing Development Programme |  |  |  |  |
| Creechbarrow Road | 2,099,000 | 778,450 | 1,320,550 | 1,321,000 |
| Phase 1: Vale View, West Bag | 0 | 422 | (422) |  |
| Phase 1: Bacon Drive | 0 | 3,336 | $(3,336)$ |  |
| Phase 1: Normandy Drive | 0 | $(1,939)$ | 1,939 |  |
| Buybacks | 0 | 108 | (108) |  |
| Social Housing Development Program | 1,000,000 | 11,068 | 1,938,932 | 1,939,000 |
| Weavers Arms | 3,372,000 | 698,696 | 2,673,304 | 2,673,000 |
| Total Social Housing Development Programme | 7,421,000 | 1,490,141 | 5,930,859 | 5,933,000 |
| Total HRA | 20,129,000 | 10,761,997 | 9,367,003 | 9,399,318 |


| Reference | Description | Measure | Previous Year Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q1 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | Q2 <br> (RAG) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC1.1 | Budgets - Income <br> To maximise income opportunities and collection <br> Income collected as a \% of rent owed excluding arrears b/f <br> Figures over 100\% indicate that arrears have been cleared or balances are in credit. | Target $=98.3 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - 104.37\% } \\ & \text { Q2 - } 99.46 \% \\ & \text { Q3 - } 99.68 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - } 99.96 \% \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - } 100.57 \% \\ & \text { Q2 - (As at month 5) } 100.34 \% \text { As at end Week } 22 \\ & \text { Q3 }-99.46 \% \\ & \text { Q4 }-99.67 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC2.5 | Customer Satisfaction <br> To deliver customer-focussed services, achieving high levels of customer satisfaction <br> Percentage of tenants who have reported antisocial behaviour in the past 12 months, rating the help and advice given as excellent or good | Target $=85 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - 96\% } \\ & \text { Q2 - 95.4\% } \\ & \text { Q3 - } 97 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - 95\% } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1-95\% } \\ & \text { Q2-93\% } \\ & \text { Q3- } 92 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - } 93 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| HC2.6 | Operational Delivery <br> Percentage of closed anti-social behaviour cases that were resolved. | Target = 85\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - 98.8\% } \\ & \text { Q2 - 88.23\% } \\ & \text { Q3 - 94.4\% } \\ & \text { Q4 - 98\% } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | GREEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 }-98 \% \\ & \text { Q2 }-94 \% \\ & \text { Q3- } 93 \% \\ & \text { Q4 }-93 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| HC2.7 | Customer Satisfaction <br> To deliver customer-focussed services, achieving high levels of customer satisfaction <br> Percentage of new tenants satisfied with the lettable standard of the property | Target = 86\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1-72\% } \\ & \text { Q2-79\% } \\ & \text { Q3 - not available } \\ & \text { Q4-98\% } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | AMBER | AMBER | RED | Q1-87\% <br> Q2-74\% Factors that are likely to have had an impact on this PI are the recent restructure of Property Services. DLO taking over scheduling of void works, continued concern in regard to inconsistent delivery of void works. These issues are being addressed. Pilot of new lettable standard to assist with expectation management. Pre-void inspection Officers are now working more closely with Area Works Managers to ensure that issues identified at Pre-void are addressed during the void period. <br> Q3-72\% Many of issues raised in last Q return remain, however progress is being made. Pre-void officer is now embedded within property services and working more closely with Area Works Managers. Voids meeting has been reviewed and additional officers now attend to add value. The pilot of the new lettable standard has been concluded and is being introduced for all new lets. Q4-82\% Many issues as described above continue, PTST re-structure now bedding in, DLO AWMs working hard to improve situation, Pre-Void Inspections continue to have a psitive effect. Introduction of new lettable standard has been well recieved by incoming tenants and is helping to manage tenants expectations which in turn is reflected by an improvement in satisfaction responses. |


| Reference | Description | Measure | Previous Year Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q1 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC2.8 | Customer Satisfaction <br> To deliver customer-focussed services, achieving high levels of customer satisfaction <br> Percentage of tenants satisfied with the most recent repair. | Target = 98\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - } 97.8 \% \\ & \text { Q2 - } 96.8 \% \\ & \text { Q3 - } 96.9 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - } 96.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | Not <br> Available | GREEN | Not available | GREEN | Not able to report for Q1 as a system failure means surveys were not produced. Q2 - (As at month 5) 98\% satisfied Another systems failure has meant that surveys were not produced during Q3. We have now sought a more resilient way of producing these surveys to mitigate the systems issues to ensure the surveys are produced for Q4. Q4-96.4\% (this is for Q4 alone not cumulative due to lack of data in previous quarters.) |
| Decent Homes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC3.1 | Decent Homes <br> - To comply with Government Standards <br> - To improve energy efficiency of housing stock <br> Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate | Target $=100 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - } 99.84 \% \\ & \text { Q2 - } 99.93 \% \\ & \text { Q3 - } 99.90 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - } 99.98 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AMBER | AMBER | AMBER | AMBER | Q1-99.90\% <br> Q2 - (As at month 5) 99.97\% <br> 1 property non-compliant. <br> Tenant in a nursing home, Estates Officer having no response from NOK.\  Will gain access to property 4.10.16 @ 2.00 <br> Q3-99.93 <br> 3 properties <br> Two on next gas hit - one Homeless Hostel and waiting for access via Letting Department (meter disked) <br> Q4-99.95\% <br> 2 properties <br> Both not added to contract after new build - confirmed appointments 21.4.17 and 28.4.17 |


| Reference | Description | Measure | Previous Year Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q1 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC4.1 | Housing Stock <br> To manage the housing stock and maintenance service to meet the needs of the tenants <br> Average re-let time (calendar days) | Target $=26$ days | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Q1 }-24.8 \text { days } \\ \text { Q2 - } 26.9 \text { days } \\ \text { Q3 - data not available } \\ \text { Q4 - data not available } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RED | Not Available | RED | RED | There remains data that has not been captured, this linked to the recent restructure of property services has resulted in reduced data collection for the above period. Confident that once new structure settled in we will be able to return to more accurate and timely reporting. <br> Q2 - No Data <br> We are still not in a position to collect accurate data. Changes to O/C and the introduction of tablets will give us more data going forward however data collection for the remainder of the tear will not accurately reflect the service Q3-44 days <br> Based on information currently in system which we know also includes some major voids. Reports are being developed to identify more robust and accurate data and will be available from April 17. <br> Q4-42.92 days <br> An improvement since last quarter, but no change from previous report in relation to data collection, hopeful that by end of next quarter will be able to report accurate data that reflects performance. |
|  | Housing Stock <br> To manage the housing stock and maintenance service to meet the needs of the tenants <br> Completion of repairs within priority target times: Urgent (Emergency) - within 24 hours | Target =98\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1-86\% } \\ & \text { Q2 - 87.10\% } \\ & \text { Q3-88.26\% } \\ & \text { Q4-91.70\% } \end{aligned}$ | AMBER |  |  |  | Q1-95.29\% <br> There are further actions to be taken in accuracy of data entry. For example some P1 jobs were attended as callouts but completion dates have not been correctly updated. This also happens when interfaces between Academy and OC fail at a weekend so it is not possible to accurately record completion dates.\  The reality is that more P1 job are completed that data suggests. <br> Q2-80.25\% As at month 5 <br> We are still not in a position to collect accurate data. Changes to O/C and the introduction of tablets will give us more data going forward however data collection for the remainder of the year will not accurately reflect the service. <br> Q3-80.1\% <br> As with Q2 the introduction of tablets will give us more data going forward however data collection for the remainder of the year will not accurately reflect the service. <br> Q4-82.01\% We have identified several issues with the collation of this data most revolve around operative error and administrative errors. Improvements have been made regarding the collection of this data and have more confidence in the data. Although we are exceeding target we expect significant improvements for the next financial year. A more comprehensive scorecard has been developed and will be presented to both scrutiny and TSMB. |


| Reference | Description | Measure | Previous Year Performance | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Q1 } \\ \text { (RAG) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Q3 } \\ \text { (RAG) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC4.3 | Housing Stock <br> To manage the housing stock and maintenance service to meet the needs of the tenants <br> Completion of repairs within priority target times: Non Urgent (up to 28 days) | Target $=85 \%$ <br> Show breakdown of Building Services and external contractors. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 - 90\% } \\ & \text { Q2 - } 92.95 \% \\ & \text { Q3 - } 93.30 \% \\ & \text { Q4 - } 91.94 \% \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | AMBER | GREEN | GREEN | Q1-89.05\% <br> Q2 - 86.11\%\  As at month 5 <br> We are still not in a position to collect accurate data. Changes to O/C and the introduction of tablets will give us more data going forward however data collection for the remainder of the year will not accurately reflect the service Q3-90.1\% <br> As per Q2, data collection for the remainder of the year will not accurately reflect the service. <br> Q4-87.87\% Improvements have been made regarding the collection of this data and have more confidence in the data. Although we are exceeding target we expect significant improvements for the next financial year. A more comprehensive scorecard has been developed and will be presented to both scrutiny and TSMB. |
| HC4.4 | Disabled facilities grants - Average time taken to complete DFG process once allocated by SWPSHP. Measures the time from allocating the case until the work has been completed. <br> KPI 52 | Target - 24 weeks (as per the Home Improvement Agency's target) (To be reported as one indicator but split by GR and HRA) | Amended indicator for 2016/17 |  | RED | RED | RED | Q1 - 44 weeks <br> Wetroom installation. One case was under the old contract, beset by 6 months delay at Aster. Situation improving with staff structure and new case management systems. <br> Q2 - (As at month 5) 32 weeks (11 cases) for Council properties and 40 weeks (9 cases) for non Council. Overall average combining the two $=37.5$ weeks. 24 weeks for Council if we exclude an extension ( 18 months which is normal) and one exceptional case, where delays were due to the client.; General Fund position due to staffing issues with Aster which have been resolved and this position is improving month to month. <br> Q3-32 weeks for Council - the cases reflect the past problems with obtaining permissions to undertake works, asbestos surveys and indecision with the Decent Homes programme. If the exceptions are removed then the time is reduced to 23 weeks. There is now a 4 weekly case review meeting put in place with the asset Manager, OT and Case Manager to review all cases. 44 weeks for non-council ( 26 cases) The timescale has increased due to 16 cases allocated in 2015 when there were issues with the Home Improvement Agency Contract. Improved management and monitoring of the caseload since Jan 2016 has seen vast improvement. All 32016 cases have averaged 13 weeks. Adding Council and non council provided an average of 38 weeks -0.5 down on the last quarter. <br> Q4 - Average 32 weeks ( 32 weeks for non HRA and 32 weeks non HRA). The first time that both HRA and non Council have both jointly improved to 32 weeks. Cumulative total 34 weeks |


| Reference | Description | Measure | Previous Year Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q1 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { (RAG) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC4.8 | Sheltered Housing <br> Percentage of tenants receiving annual review of Support Plans or review of needs and risks | Target = 100\% | New Indicator | GREEN | AMBER | AMBER | AMBER | Q1-100\% <br> Using current data the reviews are meeting expectations . <br> Q2 - Staff are still being trained in completing the Support Monitoring database, which will allow us to report up-to-date information. We have recruited new sheltered housing officers which will give us full capacity to deliver on this and meet the target by year end. <br> Q3 - Some SHOs are not fully using the Outcome Star system and still have manual records. Although the system shows Wellington with 79\% COMPLETED, North taunton $62 \%$ and Halcon $53 \%$ we are confident that the actual figure is close to $100 \%$. <br> Q4 - We still have work to do to improve our data collection for this and will improve reporting for 2018-19. |
| HC4.10 | Extra Care <br> Percentage of Extra Care tenants receiving annual review of Support Plans | Target $=100 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1-100\% } \\ & \text { Q2-100\% } \\ & \text { Q3-100\% } \\ & \text { Q4-100\% } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | AMBER | GREEN | GREEN | This quarter is still the quarter where the bulk of reviews were established. Kilkenny is rescheduling the reviews so show $50 \%$ overdue and Lodge close is showing $24 \%$ overdue. <br> There is a plan to rectify the schedule by March 2017 when contract is handed over to new provider. <br> Q 3 showing significant improvement as the only reviews overdue. This is as a result of tenants in hospital so not $100 \%$ overall but $100 \%$ for those present at scheme. <br> Q4 Reviews were all completed to enable handover to WAC as the new service provider. |

Minutes of the Meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board held on 19 June 2017 at 6.00pm in Meeting Room C in Flook House at The Deane House, Belvedere Road, Taunton.

Present: Mr R Balman (Chairman) Mrs J Bunn, Mr D Galpin, Mrs J Hegarty, Mr I Hussey and Councillor C Booth.<br>Officers: Simon Lewis (Assistant Director - Housing and Community Development), Steve Boland (Housing Services Lead), Richard Prewer (Property Services Manager), Steve Meers (Principal Accountant), Rachel Searle (Development Manager), Julie-Anne Gordon (Development Officer), Rosie Walsh (Development Officer), Martin Price (Tenant Empowerment Manager) and Clare Rendell (Democratic Services Officer)<br>Others: Councillor Mrs Smith<br>(The meeting commenced at 6.00pm)

## 1. Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillor R Bowrah, Mr A Akhigbemen and Mr K Hellier.
2. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting of the Tenant Services Management Board held on 24 April 2017 were taken as read and were signed.

## 3. Public Question Time

No questions received for Public Question Time.

## 4. Declarations of Interests

Mr R Balman, Mrs J Bunn, Mr D Galpin, Mrs J Hegarty, and Mr I Hussey declared personal interests as Taunton Deane Borough Council Housing Tenants.

## 5. Taunton Deane Borough and West Somerset Councils Fire Safety Status

The Property Services Manager gave a verbal update on the current fire safety status on Council owned properties. This was raised due to the recent tragic events that had occurred at Grenfell Tower in London.

None of the Council property portfolios had buildings that were beyond four storeys. However, the officers were aware that there were some Housing Association social housing schemes and private landlord/owner occupier buildings that were above four storeys, although these were relatively new buildings.

The Housing Revenue Account housing stock did have a number of two storey dwellings with a cladding system that was to building regulations and met the necessary British Standards and Agreement Certificates. We were satisfied the system was correctly installed.

There was a requirement for all properties of multiple occupation with communal areas to have a Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) undertaken and reviewed on a regular basis by a competent responsible person, as per the Fire Safety Order Act 2005.

Detailed below is the current position for each portfolio:-

- The Taunton Deane corporate stock was currently being surveyed by a specialist consultant, Savills, for condition, asbestos, energy rating and included FRA's which were due to be completed by the end of June 2017.
- The Taunton Deane housing portfolio had FRA's carried out between 2010 and 2012. Officers had started a rigorous regime now that there were Asset Surveyors in place. 62 new FRA's had been carried out, with the others scheduled by priority of age and risk.
- The West Somerset commercial properties that required FRA's were carried out in 2016 and were scheduled for review. All compliance requirements within the West Somerset corporate portfolio had been undertaken or were scheduled to be reviewed.

During the discussion of this item the following point was made:-

- Members queried would tenants be given reassurance on the safety of the buildings?
Yes. A press release would be given and also information would be included in the next newsletter. This would include instructions on what to do in case of a fire.

Resolved that the report be noted.

## 6. Financial Monitoring - Outturn 2016/17

The Principal Accountant presented his report which contained information that related to the Council's financial performance for 2016/17 financial year. Monitoring the budget was an important part of the Council's performance management framework.

The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) was a 'Self-Financing' account for the Council's Housing Landlord function, which was budgeted to 'break even' (net of approved transfers to/from HRA Reserves). The HRA Outturn for 2016/17 was a net underspend of $£ 882,000$ ( $3.3 \%$ of gross income).

The HRA approved Capital Programme at the end of $2016 / 17$ was $£ 20,129,000$. This related to schemes which would be completed over the next five years. The actual expenditure on the Capital Programme during 2016/17 was $£ 10,762,000$, with $£ 9,399,000$ for planned investment to be implemented on approved schemes in future years. A net overspend of $£ 33,000$ ( $0 \%$ ) was reported against the overall programme.

The HRA Reserve balance on 31 March 2017 stood at $£ 3,224,000$, which was above the minimum level $(£ 1,800,000)$ set within the Council's Budget Strategy and HRA Business Plan.

During the discussion of this item the following points were made:-

- Members queried where had the money gone for the Halcon One Team Coordinator?
The money was originally received through funding and the officers would not be able to confirm what funds would be received until September 2017.
- Clarification was requested on what the $£ 40,000$ would be spent on under the Ladymead Road section of the report.
The property at Ladymead Road had been long term empty and the money would be spent to develop the property so that staff would be able to use it for office space to enable agile working within the community.

Resolved that the Board Members:-

1) Noted the reported HRA Revenue Budget underspend of $£ 882,000$ in 2016/17;
2) Supported a Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme Budget Carry Forward which totalled $£ 9,399,000$; and
3) Supported $£ 590,000$ Supplementary Budget allocations in 2017/18 for the HRA, and utilised the 2016/17 underspends.

## 7. Performance Indicators Quarter Four 2016/17

The Assistant Director for Housing and Community Development and the Property Services Manager presented the report which updated the TSMB on the current situation within Property Services.

Below is a summary of the results presented to the Board Members:-

- HC1.1 - To maximise the income opportunities and collection of rent. This was reported in the green for each quarter, which had reached over 100\% for quarter one and two. This indicated that arrears had been cleared or balances were now in credit.
- HC2.5 and 2.6 - Customer satisfaction and operational delivery for anti-social behaviour. These were both in the green for all four quarters. Managers had requested extra staff to deal with the high demand within the department.
- HC2.7 - Customer satisfaction for operational delivery. This was in the red for the last quarter, however, there had been improvement over the year. The vacant positions had been filled and contractors now understood the expected level of work.
- HC4.1 and 4.2 - Housing stock maintenance and service to tenants. An improvement had been made since last quarter, however, there were still problems with the data collection. Managers were confident that by the end of the next quarter they would be able to report more accurate data that reflected performance.
- HC4.4 - Disabled facilities grant. This was still in the red and was due to challenges with the contractors. It was hoped that this would improve over the next year due to better working relationships with the team and the contractors.
- HC4.8 and 4.10 - Review on sheltered and extra care housing. All the reviews had been completed but there was still work to be done for the data collection to be improved.

Resolved that the content of the report be noted.

## 8. Exclusion of the Press and Public

Resolved that the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item because of the likelihood that exempt information would otherwise be disclosed relating to Clause 3 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act, 1972 and the public interest in withholding the information outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information to the public.
9. Confidential Development Update

Considered report previously circulated regarding the confidential Development updates on the Creehbarrow Road Development, Weavers Arms Development, Rockwell Green, Wellington and Laxton Road, Taunton.
(The meeting ended at 6.40 pm )

